

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/643,376 08/18/2003		Michael A. Pouchak	H0005578 (1161.1125101)	3616	
128	7590 06/24/2005		EXAMINER		
HONEYW	ELL INTERNATION	TANNER, HARRY B			
	IBIA ROAD			D. DED . W. G. (DED	
P O BOX 22	245		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			3744		

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/643,376	POUCHAK, MICHAEL A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Harry B. Tanner	3744		

Defect the Ellist of an Americal Delet	10/043,370	T OOOTIAN, WHOTIAL					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Harry B. Tanner	3744 ·					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	•				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
		e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no				
b) Memory of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	i.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
the non-allowable claim(s).	6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 33,34,36-46 and 53-63; claims 33-34 being are rejected the same as cancelled 35.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Harry B. Tanne	m				
		Harry B. Tanne Primary Examin	r er				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as noted in the rejection of claim 35 Worthington clearly teaches simultaneaous heating and cooling.